## AUTO COLLISION REPAIR LICENSING ADVISORY BOARD Meeting Minutes of August 25, 2010

Members present: Dave Reynolds, Association of Collision Repairers - Chairman

Tom Broderick, Chief Auto Body and Salvage,

DBR Member

Louis D'Quattro, Jr. - Deputy Director,

Division of Regulatory Standards,

Compliance and Enforcement, DBR Member

Scott Wendel, Insurance Company
Dan Colemen, Auto Glass Industry
Dave Doucet, RISP

Members absent: Chris Hurd, New Car Dealer Dennis Gamba, Direct Repair Member Gerry Galleshaw, Public Member

Others present: Jina Petrarca-Karampetsos, Providence Auto Body
William Burke, Progressive
Jack Condon, Progressive
Randy Bottella, Reliable Collision
Larry Alan, Nationwide
Kim Precious, Implementation Aide
Evelyn Ferrara, Licensing Aide

**MEETING CALLED TO ORDER AT 10:35 A.M.** 

Dave Reynolds: Asked board to go over Regular and Executive

**Session Minutes from last meeting.** 

DR: Motion to pass as written: Seconded. DC, All in favor.

**Regulation 4** 

DR: Does everyone have copy of the latest version of Regulation?

Work Completed Form changes to Section 3 consistent with a memo

sent to Barry Hitner in 1995. Section regarding deductible does not

belong in there because it is not consistent with a decision by Barry

Hitner. Statue RIGL 5-38-28 immediately calls for a form that certifies

the repairs to the particular vehicle have actually been made. Part

2(a) of the form created requires information beyond the scope of the

statue. Any questions?

Jina Petrarca-Karampetsos: Form should say I have not made any

repairs or my auto has been repaired according to attached repair bill.

Larry Alan: DBR's original intent was to get more info on that issue.

Is DBR is willing to give up that issue?

Louis D'Quattro, Jr: I think we have to be consistent with

interpretation of statue.

JPK: If that is what DBR wants, than the statute would have to be amended? Note other revisions: Page 2 - Section 4(a) License required. Also new law on mobile units was not in regulation, I added it verbatim right out of statue. Headings were changed, they were a bit confusing. Next change: Page 3 - under limited and heavy truck added language re: paint systems. Clarify- special use license not for something already in regulation; Page 4 - reformat issue, Section C, I entitled - application requirements, Separate requirement clarified. Roman numeral 5, Changed fee. Page 5 - Section G - Requirement to display license, again language verbatim right out of statue. Page 7 - making repair certification available to law enforcement upon request. Changing numbering of sections of all licenses. Taking out above and below wording to be consistent with regulatory wording.

Randy Bottella: Ongoing issue for over six years now- Under the equipment requirements for all licenses it should say paint booth.

DR: Should say compliance to Fire Code 33.

RB: First it said spray booth, then changed to spray area. Inspections are showing that they have a paint mixing system and no spray booth.

Scott Wendel: How do they pass a fire inspection?

RB: They tell the fire department they are not spraying.

JPK: We need language to amend f and c. Work on language for NAF33.

DR: We thought the original language would include it but that is not crystal clear enough.

DR: We should put Certification of technicians and NEIT curriculum on agenda for next meeting. Regulation 4, incorporate changes, once done, email to board for review, then red-line version will go up to the Director because he is waiting for it.

JPK: Does there need to be any reference to Regulation 16 in licensing section of this Regulation?

DR: Yes. You are going to have to reference it in license requirements. Notice one more meeting this month on regulation 4 to get it finished. Any new or old business to bring up?

DR: Motion to adjourn 11:20. Seconded DC. All in favor. Meeting adjourned.